

EXPERIENCE IN ORGANIZING GNSS-OBSERVATIONS AT THE GEODYNAMIC POLYGOON OF AN OIL AND GAS FIELD: METHODOLOGY, DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS

Nikolay S. Kosarev

Siberian State University of Geosystems and Technologies, 10, Plakhotnogo St., Novosibirsk, 630108, Russia, Ph. D., Associate Professor, Department of Engineering Geodesy and Mine Surveying, phone: (913)706-91-95,
e-mail: kosarevnsk@yandex.ru

Vladimir A. Padve

Siberian State University of Geosystems and Technologies, 10, Plakhotnogo St., Novosibirsk, 630108, Russia, Ph. D., Associate Professor, Department of Applied Information Science and Systems, phone: (913)958-12-34, e-mail: evdapav@mail.ru

To ensure geodynamic safety within the scope of their license areas, surveying services of the oil and gas complex create geodynamic polygons. When using GNSS technologies as a method of geodynamic monitoring, the question arises of choosing a coordination technology for a new point inserted into the reference geodetic network. According to a number of regulatory documents, in the development of satellite geodetic networks, only the "network" method should be used, while the "radiant" method is considered unacceptable. The use of the "network" method, as the main one in the organization of GNSS measurements at geodynamic polygons, leads to an increase in the observation time, which is obviously less in the "radiant" method. The authors, using its own Excel-program as a computing tool, they show that the accuracy of the "radiant" and "network" methods is identical. Theoretically, the points of the reference geodetic network of the geodetic construction under study are considered as mathematical constants whose values are not subject to LS-optimization. As an experiment, GNSS measurements were used to bind the new Langepas point, which is part of the network of the regional geodynamic polygon of LLC LUKOIL-Western Siberia, to six reference points of the state geodetic network. Processing of observational materials was implemented using the algorithm of the synthesized version of the parametric method (SVPM) of LS-optimization of geospatial data, taking into account the errors of the coordinates of reference points. The coordinates of the defined point and their average quadratic errors turned out to be, of course, identical in both solutions: "radiant" and "network". This testifies in favor of the theoretically justified use of the "radiant" method, as less laborious in terms of the volume of field work. Additionally, within the framework of the same SVPS algorithm, the GNSS measurements on the specified object were treated as a "free" network. In the latter case, the average quadratic errors of the coordinates of the new inserted item sharply decreased. Processing of the same data on the synthesized variant of the correlate method with additional parameters (SVCMAP) again confirmed the results of the "radiation" method.

Keywords: geodynamic monitoring, oil and gas fields, least-squares method, synthesized parametric version of the least-squares method, GNSS technology, radial method, network method, free network, correlate method with additional parameters

REFERENCES

1. Brekhuntsov, A. M. (2016). The history of the discovery and development of hydrocarbon fields in Western Siberia. *Neftegazovaya Vertikal' [Oil and Gas Vertical]*, 6, 17–20 [in Russian].
2. Nikonov, A. I., & Luk'yanov, O. V. (2010). Ecological and geodynamic safety and problems in industrial ecological monitoring at objects of an oil-gas complex. *Zapiski Gornogo instituta [Journal of Mining Institute]*, 188, 179–182 [in Russian].
3. Kuzmin Yu. O. (2010) Scientific-methodological foundation for geodynamic safety of oil and gas objects. *Zapiski Gornogo instituta [Journal of Mining Institute]*, 188, 158–162 [in Russian].
4. Kuzmin, Yu. O. (2019). Recent geodynamics: from crustal movements to monitoring critical objects. *Izvestiya. Physics of the Solid Earth*, 55(1), 65–86. doi: 10.31857/S0002-33372019178-103.
5. Gerasimenko, M. D., Gorshkov, V. L., Kaftan, V. I., Kosarev, N. S., Malkin, Z. M., Mazurov, B. T., Pasyuk, S. L., Pobedinsky, G. G., Popadev, V. V., Savinykh, V. P., Sermyagin, R. A., Shestakov, N. V.,

Steblov, G. M., Sugaipova, L. S., & Ustinov, A. V. (2019). National Report for the IAG of the IUGG 2015–2018. *Geoinformatics Research Papers*, 7(1), BS7003. Moscow: GCRAS Publ., 100 p. doi: 10.2205/2019IUGG-RU-IAG.

6. Vasil'ev, Iu. V., Iakovlev, S. I., & Filatov, A. V. (2015). The results of monitoring deformation processes by methods of high accuracy geodesy, gravimetry and radar interferometry in the Samotlor geodynamic testing ground. *Marksheiderskii vestnik [Mine Surveying Bulletin]*, 4, 38–44 [in Russian].

7. Misyurev, D. A., Vasilev, Yu. V., & Inozemtsev, D. P. (2020). Analysis of the results of the surveying and geodetic observations at the Pyt-Yakh geodynamic site. *Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedeniy. Neft' i gaz [Oil and Gas Studies]*, 1, 30–41. doi: 10.31660/0445-0108-2020-1-30-41 [in Russian].

8. Kalenitsky, A. I., Kim, E. L., & Seredovich, V. A. (2014). Establishment of geodynamic testing areas on oil-and-gas fields. In *Sbornik materialov Interekspo GEO-Sibir'-2014: Mezhdunarodnoy nauchnoy konferentsii: T. 2. Geodeziya, geoinformatika, kartografiya, markshejderiya [Proceedings of Interexpo GEO-Siberia-2014: International Scientific Conference: Vol. 2. Geodesy, Geoinformatics, Cartography, Mine Surveying]* (pp. 207–213). Novosibirsk: SSGA Publ. [in Russian].

9. Kashnikov, Yu. A., Belyaev, K. V., Bogdanets, E. S., & Sogorin, A. A. (2018). *Marksheyderskoe obespechenie razrabotki mestorozhdeniy nefti i gaza [Mine surveying support for the development of oil and gas fields]*. Moscow: Nedra Publ, 454 p. [in Russian].

10. Karpik, A. P., Kim, E. L., Tkacheva, G. N., & Masal'sky, M. N. (2019). A method for geodynamic monitoring of displacements of blocks in the upper part of the earth's crust and the deformation state of the earth's surface using the technology of high-precision satellite positioning of the global navigation satellite system (GNSS). Patent RF No. 2704730.

11. Kalenitsky, A. I., & Kim, E. L. (2015). On the need for an integrated application of gravimetry and geodesic methods for monitoring natural and man-made geodynamics in hydrocarbon fields. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 1(29), 15–23 [in Russian].

12. Odabai-Fard, V. V., & Ponomarenko, M. R. (2017). Geodynamic monitoring of the ground surface and mining industry infrastructure using radar interferometry. *Gornyy informatsionno-analiticheskiy byulleten' [Mining Informational and Analytical Bulletin]*, 11, 59–67 [in Russian].

13. Ketelaar, V. B. H. (2009). *Satellite radar Interferometry. Subsidence monitoring Techniques*. Netherlands: Delft University of technology, 244 p.

14. Kuzmin, Yu. O. (2020). Topical issues of use of geodesic measurements at geodynamic monitoring of objects of oil and gas complex. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 25(1), 43–54 [in Russian].

15. Padve, V. A. (2018). *Matematicheskaya obrabotka i analiz rezul'tatov geodezicheskikh izmereniy: Ch. 2, Sintezirovannye i kombinirovannye algoritmy tochnostnoy MNK-optimizatsii i analiza rezul'tatov izmereniy [Mathematical processing and interpretation of the results of geodetic measurements: Part 2, Synthesized and combined algorithms for precision OLS optimization and analysis of measurement results]*. Novosibirsk: SSUGT Publ., 134 p. [in Russian].

16. Padve, V. A., & Kosarev, N. S. (2020). The issue of LS-optimization satellite geodetic networks in the terrestrial coordinate system. In *Sbornik materialov Interekspo GEO-Sibir'-2020: Natsional'noy nauchnoy konferentsii s mezhdunarodnym uchastiem: T. 1. Geodeziya, geoinformatika, kartografiya, markshejderiya [Proceedings of Interexpo GEO-Siberia-2020: National Scientific Conference with International Participation: Vol. 1. Geodesy, Geoinformatics, Cartography, Mine Surveying]* (pp. 120–128). Novosibirsk: SSUGT Publ. [in Russian].

17. Kosarev, N. S., Padve, V. A., Sergeev, S. A., & Dudarev, V. I. (2018). The use of a synthesized algorithm variant of the parametric version of LSM-optimization of the results of GNSS measurements for their comparative analysis. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 23(3), 30–45 [in Russian].

18. Tikhonov, A. N., & Arsenin, V. Ya. (1986). *Metody resheniya nekorrektnykh zadach Methods of solving incorrect problems*. Moscow: Nauka Publ., 288 p. [in Russian].

Received 14.08.2021

© N. S. Kosarev, V. A. Padve, 2022