

## GEOSPATIAL SUPPORT AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS FOR THE PROGRAM OF ANALYTICAL QUALITY CONTROL OF GEOLOGICAL EXPLORATION AT THE FIELD

*Sergey N. Goncharenko*

National University of Science and Technology MISiS, 4, Leninskiy Prospect St., Moscow, 119049, Russia, D. Sc., Professor, Department ASU, phone: 8(499)2302811, e-mail: gs16@mail.ru

The purpose of this work is formation of a quality control program for geological exploration at the field and geological control of mineral resources evaluation. The study is based on modeling and interpretation of the content of the useful component and the lithology of the field. The contents were assessed by the ordinary kriging method. The substantiation of the upper and lower limits of the content of the useful component is carried out on the basis of laboratory research data using the methods of statistical analysis. Grade estimates were verified by statistical comparison of drilling data with visual grade grades based on modeling data. Relevant factors were taken into account that determine the degree of consistency of geological structures and the category of reserves of the field. The lithological features that determine the promising zones of mineralization have been established. Implemented data quality check procedures to monitor contamination, reliability and accuracy of analyzes. The procedure for determining the factors that negatively affect the consistency of grades and the parameters of geological characteristics has been implemented. The development and verification of the block model of the field was carried out and the comparison of the volume of the useful component of the block model with the volumes of the formed frames was carried out. As a result of the research carried out, the classification of reserves was carried out, taking into account the initial set of available data on the geological structures of the field, analytical sampling data and the content of the useful component.

**Keywords:** data quality control, sample preparation, block model of the field, consistency of geological structures, metal content limits, mineralization zones

### REFERENCES

1. Podrezov, D. R. (2020). Modeling the performance indicators of geotechnological blocks and the movement of the discovered reserves of an underground borehole leaching of uranium. *Vestnik Dagestanskogo gosudarstvennogo tekhnicheskogo universiteta. Tekhnicheskie nauki [Herald of Daghestan State Technical University. Technical Sciences]*, 47(2), 98–107. doi: 10.21822/2073-6185-2020-47-2-98-107 [in Russian].
2. Goncharenko, S. N., & Berdaliev, B. A. (2018). Methods to predict and estimate residual and technological concentrations of uranium ore in in-situ leaching mining. *Gornyy informatsionno-analiticheskiy byulleten [Mining Informational and Analytical Bulletin]*, 5, 43-48 doi: 10.25018/0236-1493-2018-5-0-43-48 [in Russian].
3. Vostrikov, A. V., Prokofeva, E. N., Goncharenko, S. N., & Griбанov, I. V. (2019). Analytical modeling for the modern mining industry. *Eurasian Mining*, 2(32), 30–35. doi: 10.17580/em.2019.02.07.
4. Vasilyeva, N. V., & Fedorova, E. R. (2018). Statistical methods of evaluating quality of technological process control of trends of main parameters dependence. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1118, P. 012046. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1118/1/012046.
5. Karpik, A. P., Lisitsky, D. V., Baykov, K. S., Osipov, A. G., & Savinykh, V. N. (2017). Geospatial Discourse of Advanced and Breakthrough Thinking. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 22(4), 53–68 [in Russian].
6. Bugakov, P. Yu., Katsko, S. Yu., Basargin, A. A., & Voronkin, E. Yu. (2018). Analysis of the Functionality of the Web Application Kepler. GI Forvisualizing and Analyzing of Large Spatial Datasets. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 23(4), 155–164 [in Russian].
7. Katsko, S. Yu. (2011). The potential of information and analytical GIS for the work of non-professional users with spatial information. *Vestnik SGGA [Vestnik SSGA]*, 1(14), 76–80 [in Russian].
8. Romicheva, E. V. (2017). Methods of processing and visualization of big data. *Alleya nauki [Science Alley]*, 3(16), 976–982 [in Russian].
9. Rzeszotarski, J. M., & Kittur, A. (2014). Kinetica: Naturalistic Multi-touch Data Visualization. Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '14 (pp. 897–906).

10. Isenberg, P., Isenberg, T., Hesselmann, T., Lee, B., von Zadow, U., & Tang, A. (2013). Data Visualization on Interactive Surfaces: A Research Agenda. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 33(2), 16–24. doi: 10.1109/MCG.2013.24.
11. Towards a Spatial Knowledge Infrastructure White Paper Released. (n. d.). Retrieved from <http://www.gsdiassociation.org/index.php/news/global-news/795-towards-a-spatial-knowledge-infrastructure-white-paper-released.html/>.
12. Written by Jon Fairall. From spatial information to Spatial Knowledge Infrastructure. By Anthony Wallace on 21 June, 2017. Retrieved from <https://www.spatialsource.com.au/gis-data/spatial-information-spatial-knowledge>.
13. Blog – East View Geospatial. (n. d.). Retrieved from <https://geospatial.com/blog/>.
14. Advancing role of geospatial knowledge infrastructure in world economy and society. (n. d.). Retrieved from <https://www.geospatialworld.net/blogs/advancing-role-of-geospatial-knowledgeinfrastructure-in-world-economy-and-society>.
15. Training program: advancing role of geospatial knowledge infrastructure in world economy, society and environment. (n. d.). Retrieved from <https://geospatialworldforum.org/advancing-role-of-geospatial-knowledge-in-world-economy.asp>.
16. Colman, A. M. (2016). *Game theory and experimental games: The study of strategic interaction*. Elsevier, 314 p.
17. Dixit, A. K., & Skeath, S. (2015). *Games of Strategy* (4th International Student ed.). WW Norton & Company, 712 p.
18. Pinde, Fu, & Jiulin, Sun. (2010). *Web GIS: principles and applications* (1st ed). Esri Press, 380 New York Street, Redlands, California, P. 16.
19. Leibo, J. Z., Zambaldi, V., Lanctot, M., Marecki, J., & Graepel, T. (2017). Multi-agent reinforcement learning in sequential social dilemmas. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* (pp. 464–473).
20. He, H., Boyd-Graber, J., Kwok, K., & Daumé III, H. (2016). Opponent modeling in deep reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 1804–1813).
21. Stone, P., Kaminka, G., Kraus, S., & Rosenschein, J. (2010). Ad hoc autonomous agent teams: collaboration without pre-coordination. In *Proceedings of the 24th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 1504–1509).
22. Genter, K., Laue, T., & Stone, P. (2017). Three years of the RoboCup standard platform league dropin player competition: Creating and maintaining a large scale ad hoc teamwork robotics competition. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, 31(4), 790–820.
23. Neuner, H., Schmitt, C., & Neumann, I. (2013). Modelling of terrestrial laser-scanning profile measurements with. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Joint international Symposium on Deformation Monitoring*. Nottingham, England.
24. Savich, A. I., Ilin, M. M., Elkin, V. P., Rechitskii, V. I., & Basova, A. B. (2013). Geologic-engineering and geomechanical models of the rock mass in the bed of the dam at the Sayano-Shushenskaya HPP. *Power Technology and Engineering*, 47(2), 89–101.
25. Novikov, Y. A., & Shchukina, V. N. (2017). Preparatory stage for instrumental monitoring and structural inspection of buildings and structures. In *Proceedings of the International Conference "Actual Issues of Mechanical Engineering" 2017, AIME 2017* (pp. 773–778).
26. Bill Franks. (2012). *Taming the big data tidal wave: finding opportunities in huge data streams with advanced analytics*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 336 p. doi: 10.1002/9781119204275.
27. Dr. Arvind Sathi. (2012). *Big Data Analytics: Disruptive Technologies for Changing the Game*. MC Press Online, LLC, 91 p.
28. Prokofeva, E. N., Vostrikov, A. V., Fernandez, E., & Borisov, N. (2017). Navigation satellite systems as the audit foundation for mining companies. *Eurasian Mining*, 1, 30–32. doi: 10.17580/em.2017.01.08.
29. Shepel, T., Grafe, B., Hartlieb, P., Drebenstedt, C., & Malovyk, A. (2018). Evaluation of cutting forces in granite treated with microwaves on the basis of multiple linear regression analysis. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 107, 69–74. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrmms.2018.04.043.

Received 27.02.2021

© S. N. Goncharenko, 2021