

## MONITORING, MODELING AND BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS OF STRUCTURES

### *Sergey G. Mogilny*

Prydniprovsk State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture, 24-a, Chernyshevskogo St., Dnepr, 49600, Ukraine, D. Sc., Professor, Department of Land Management, Road Construction and Geodesy, phone: +38(050)606-43-54, e-mail: mogilnysg@mail.ru

### *Andrei A. Sholomitskii*

Siberian State University of Geosystems and Technologies, 10, Plakhotnogo St., Novosibirsk, 630108, Russia, Dr. Sc., Professor, Department of Engineering Geodesy and Mine Surveying, phone: (383)343-29-55, e-mail: sholomitskij@mail.ru

### *Elena K. Lagutina*

Siberian State University of Geosystems and Technologies, 10, Plakhotnogo St., Novosibirsk, 630108, Russia, Ph. D., Senior Teacher, Department of Engineering Geodesy and Mine Surveying, phone: (383)343-29-55, e-mail: e.k.lagutina@snga.ru

### *Ekaterina L. Soboleva*

Novosibirsk State University of Architecture, Design and Arts, 38, Krasnyy prospekt St., Novosibirsk, 630099, Russia, Ph. D., Associate Professor, Dean of the Faculty of Design and Arts, phone: (383)209-17-50 (1513), e-mail: e.l.soboleva@mail.ru

Information technology and modeling are becoming more widely used, mainly in the design and operation of buildings and structures, and in most cases this is enough for trouble-free operation. Nevertheless, there is a category of buildings for which the monitoring of the technical condition should be an integral part of the construction and operation. Unfortunately, the development of these technologies in the Russian Federation is not at level, sufficient for answering questions about the behavior of objects under changing environmental conditions and revealing hidden patterns in monitoring data. Based on analysis of literary sources, the authors reviewed various methods for identifying hidden patterns in geodetic measurement data when monitoring buildings and structures. It is noted that modern analysis methods are based on statistical processing of measurement results and on statistical forecasting method. However, there are attempts to apply models that take into account object's design features and temperature regime. This type includes two proposed models, which are used to model three-dimensional coordinates of strain marks in the 3D model and only elevations of marks in the 1-Z model. The article presents the rationale for the simulated geometric elements and properties of the object. The solution of the equations of both models and the analysis of the results and parameters of the model for measurement epochs are shown. The simulation is shown on the example of a real object, which was monitored by the authors in 2015–2016. The authors believe that the monitoring of large-span structures and the search for patterns of their behavior should be an integral part of the information system for such structures.

**Keywords:** geodetic measurements, environmental parameters, monitoring, thermal model, deformations, large-span structures, pattern analysis

## REFERENCES

1. Han, J., & Kamber, M. (2012). *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques* (3rd ed.). Elsevier Inc., 673 p.
2. Witten, I. H., & Frank, E. (2005). *Data Mining. Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques* (2nd ed.). Elsevier Inc., 525 p.
3. Li, D., Wang, Sh. & Li, Deyi (2015). *Spatial Data Mining. Theory and Application*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 308 p.
4. Li, D., & Wang, Sh. (2005). Concepts, principles and applications of spatial data mining and knowledge discovery. ISSTM 2005 (pp. 1–12). Beijing, China.
5. Gerasimenko, M. D., & Kamornyj, V. M. (2014). Adjustment of repeated geodetic measurements in case the systematic errors presence. *Geodeziya i kartografiya [Geodesy and Cartography]*, 9, 7–8 [in Russian].
6. Gorohova, E. I. (2016). Geomonitoring of engineering structures and forecasting their deformations using laser scanning data. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 2(34), 65–72 [in Russian].

7. Afonin, D. A., Bogomolova, N. N., & Bryn', M. Ya. (2014). Preliminary calculation of the accuracy of geodetic measurements during the monitoring of deformation of gantry parts of transport tunnels. *Geodeziya i kartografiya [Geodesy and Cartography]*, 1, 7–11 [in Russian].
8. Brown, N., Kaloustian, S., & Roeckle, M. (2007). Monitoring of Open Pit Mines Using Combined GNSS Satellite Receivers and Robotic Total Stations. *Proceedings of the 2007 International Symposium on Rock Slope Stability in Open Pit Mining and Civil Engineering* (pp. 417–429). Perth: Australian Centre for Geomechanics.
9. Costantino, D., & Angelini, M. G. (2013). Structural Monitoring with Geodetic Survey of Quadri-foglio Condominium (Iecce). *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences: Vol. XL-5/W3. The Role of Geomatics in Hydrogeological Risk* (pp. 179–187). Padua, Ital.
10. Chrzanowski, A., Szostak-Chrzanowski, A., & Steeves, R. (2011). Reliability and Efficiency of Dam Deformation Monitoring Schemes. CDA 2011 Annual Conference, Congres annuel 2011 de l'ACB (pp. 1–15). Fredericton, NB, Canada.
11. Miima, J. B., & Niemeier, W. Adapting neural networks for modelling structural behavior in geodetic deformation monitoring. *ZfV*, 129(3), 160–167.
12. Shan, A. C. (2015). Analytical Research on Deformation Monitoring of Large Span Continuous Rigid-Frame Bridge during Operation. *Engineering*, 7, 477–487.
13. Tian, Y. H., Shen, Y. P., Yu, W. B., & Fang, J. H. (2015). Monitoring and analysis of ground temperature and deformation within Qinghai-Tibet Highway subgrade in permafrost region. *Sciences in Cold and Arid Regions*, 7(4), 370–375.
14. Bliuger, F. (1982). Temperature Effects in Buildings with Panel Walls. *Building and Environment*, 17(I), 17–21.
15. Bureš, J., Švábenský, O., & Kalina, M. (2014). Long-term Deformation Measurements of Atypical Roof Timber Structures. *INGEO 2014 – 6th International Conference on Engineering Surveying. TS 7 – Monitoring of structures* (pp. 249–254). Prague, Czech Republic.
16. Zhang, P., Xia, Y., & Ni, Y. Q. (2012). Prediction of Temperature Induced Deformation of a Supertall Structure Using Structural Health Monitoring Data. *Proceedings of the 6th European Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring* (pp. 879–885). Dresden, Germany.
17. Vaccaa, G., Mistretta, F., Stochino, F., & Dessi, A. (2016). Terrestrial laser scanner for monitoring the deformations and the damages of buildings. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences: Vol. XLI-B5. 2016 XXIII ISPRS Congress* (pp. 453–460). Prague, Czech Republic.
18. Mill, T., & Ellmann, A. (2014). Terrestrial Laser Scanning Technology for Deformation Monitoring of a Large Suspension Roof Structure. *INGEO 2014 – 6th International Conference on Engineering Surveying, TS 5 – Deformation measurement* (pp. 179–186). Prague, Czech Republic.
19. Yager, R., Shpon, P., Shajhutdinov, T., Gorohova, T., & Yankush, A. (2012). Mathematical models and technical realization of GOGA – on-line system of geodetic monitoring and reporting deformations of natural and technogenic objects, based on accurate satellite (GNSS) and ground-based (LPS/LS) observations. In *Sbornik materialov Interekspo GEO-Sibir'-2012: Plenarnoe zasedanie [Proceedings of Interexpo GEO-Siberia-2012: Plenary Session]* (pp. 9–32). Novosibirsk: SSGA Publ. [in Russian].
20. Bugakova, T. Yu. (2015). Modelling of spatio-temporal variations for engineering structures and natural objects by geodetic data. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 1(29), 34–42 [in Russian].
21. Kobeleva, N. N. (2017). Methodical Peculiarities of Prognostic Mathematical Modelling for Deformation Survey of High Dams. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 22(2), 55–66 [in Russian].
22. Kobeleva N. N., & Horoshilov V. S. (2015). Creation of forecast model of dam crest displacement of Sajano-Shushenskaja PS based on geodetic data, operation years 2007–2009. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 4(32), 5–12 [in Russian].
23. Kobeleva, N. N., & Horoshilov, V. S. (2016). Creation of mathematical models for forecast of horizontal displacements of Sajano-Shushenskaja dam for the operation period 2007–2009. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 2(34), 73–86 [in Russian].
24. Bedov, A. I., Znamenskij, V. V., & Gabitov, A. I. (2014). *Otsenka tekhnicheskogo sostoyaniya, vostanovlenie i usilenie osnovaniy i stroitel'nykh konstruksiy ekspluatiruemyykh zdaniy i sooruzheniy: Ch. 1 [Estimation of technical conditions, reconstruction and reinforcement of foundations in engineering structures and buildings in operation: Part I]*. Moscow: ASV Publ., 704 p. [in Russian].

25. Simonyan, V. V., Shmelin, N. A., & Zajcev, A. K. (2016). *Geodezicheskiy monitoring zdaniy i sooruzheniy kak osnova kontrolya za bezopasnost'yu pri stroitel'stve i ekspluatatsii inzhenernykh sooruzheniy [Geodetic monitoring of buildings and constructions as a basis of safety control in building and exploitation of engineering structures]* (2nd ed.). Moscow: NIU MGSU Publ., 144 p. [in Russian].

26. Snegirev, A. I., & Al'himenko, A. I. (2008). Temperature influence onto bearing constructions strain. *Inzhenerno-stroitel'nyj zhurnal [Magazine of Civil Engineering]*, 2, 8–16.

Received 29.04.2020

© S. G. Mogilny, A. A. Sholomitskii, E. K. Lagutina, E. L. Soboleva, 2021