

## **HYDROLOGICAL SITE HEIGHTS MEASUREMENT WITH THE DOMESTIC GNSS RECEIVERS**

***Stanislav O. Shevchuk***

Russian Institute of Radionavigation and Time, 120, EC, Prospect Obukhovskoy Oborony, Saint Petersburg, 192012, Russia, Ph. D., Project Manager, phone: (903)936-78-53, e-mail: staspp@211.ru

***Nikolay S. Kosarev***

Siberian State University of Geosystems and Technologies, 10, Plakhotnogo St., Novosibirsk, 630108, Russia, Ph. D., Associate Professor, Department of Engineering Geodesy and Mine Surveying, phone: (913)706-91-95, e-mail: kosarevnsk@yandex.ru

***Vadim N. Ponomarev***

Russian Institute of Radionavigation and Time, 120, EC, Prospect Obukhovskoy Oborony, Saint Petersburg, 192012, Russia, Project Manager, phone: (965)816-65-50, e-mail: v8166550@yandex.ru

***Nellya N. Bobrovitskaya***

State Institute of Hydrology, 23, 2nd line of Vasilievsky Ostrova, Saint Petersburg, 192012, Russia, D. Sc., Head of the Department of Monitoring and Expedition Researches, phone: (812)323-12-49, e-mail: bobrovi@ggi.nw.ru

***Alexander A. Sudakov***

State Institute of Hydrology, 23, 2nd line of Vasilievsky Ostrova, Saint Petersburg, 192012, Russia, Deputy Chief of the Expeditionary Team, phone: (905)213-42-10, e-mail: alexandr.sudakov07@gmail.com

The main topic of the article is the overview and results analysis of the research devoted to hydrological posts height leveling issues. The research was carried out by the Russian Institute of Radionavigation and Time and the State Institute of Hydrography. The problem of geodetic maintenance of hydrological post is overviewed, the technique of the problem solution with the domestic GNSS-receivers is proposed. The experimental measurements were made in Novgorod region.

The results of the experiments are analyzed. The main conclusion is that the domestic GNSS-receivers with a high-degree geoid model (ex. EGM2008, GECO, EIGEN-6C4) can provide the leveling precision equivalent to III-IV classes of leveling with classical geodesy methods, that's necessary for geodetic maintenance of hydrological posts. The accuracy level can be reached only in case of precise and accurate initial data. The measurements need to be made with the relative GNSS positioning methods to avoid the systematical errors.

The initial data in the context of these works are heights of control/basic points. The points should be static and safe for the heights were true and reliable. The main problem is the unsatisfactory condition of the State height system that includes the leveling points and their normal heights in Baltic system 1977. Most of the points are destroyed or shifted. The leveling net of Novgorod region (as the whole Russian Federation height points system) needs to be restored and being monitored further.

Currently it is impossible to make conclusions on the possibility of hydrological posts leveling with GNSS-methods taking in account the conditioning of the leveling net over the territory.

**Key words:** hydrology, GNSS, geoid, GAO2012, EGM2008, EIGEN-6C, orthometric height, height anomaly.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Instructions on hydrologic stations and posts: No. 9, Part 1. (1968). Leningrad: Gidrometizdat Publ., 424 p. [in Russian].
2. Hydrological system of observations, data processing and informational products preparing overview on 2016. (n. d.). Retrieved from [http://www.hydrology.ru/sites/default/files/Books/obzor\\_seti\\_2016\\_v\\_pechat.pdf](http://www.hydrology.ru/sites/default/files/Books/obzor_seti_2016_v_pechat.pdf) [in Russian].
3. Geodesic, cartographic instructions, standards and rules. (2004). GKINP (GNTA)-03-010-03. Manual leveling I, II, III and IV classes. Moscow: CNIIGAiK Publ., 135 p. [in Russian].
4. Geodesic, cartographic instructions, standards and rules. (2003). GKINP-03-15-88. Leading technical material. Leveling posts heights determination. Moscow: CNIIGAiK Publ., 41 p. [in Russian].
5. Gienko, E. G., Strukov, A. A., & Reshetov, A. P. (2011). Studying the accuracy of normal heights and vertical deviations on the territory of the Novosibirsk region using the global geoid model EGM2008. In *Sbornik materialov GEO-Sibir'-2011: T. 1. Geodeziya, geoinformatika, kartografiya, marksheyderiya [Proceedings of GEO-Siberia-2011: International Scientific Conference: Vol. 1. Geodesy, Geoinformation, Cartography, Mine Surveying]* (pp. 186–191). Novosibirsk: SSGA Publ. [in Russian].
6. Koneshov, V. N., Nepoklonov, V. B., & Avgustov, L. I. (2016) Estimating the navigation informativity of the Earth's anomalous gravity field. *Gyroscopy and Navigation*, 7(3), 277–284.
7. Obidenko, V. I., Opritova, O. A., & Reshetov, A. P. (2016). Working out of a technique of reception of normal heights in territory of the Novosibirsk region with use of earth gravitational model EGM2008. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 1(33), 14–25 [in Russian].
8. Shendrik, N. K. (2016). Creation of the local digital geoid heights model on Novosibirskaya oblast' territory. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 4(36), 66–72 [in Russian].
9. Hirt, C. (2011) Assessment of EGM2008 over Germany using accurate quasigeoid heights from vertical deflections, GCG05 and GPS/leveling. *Zeitschrift für Geodäsie, Geoinformation und Landmanagement (zfv)*, 136(3), 138–149.
10. Łyszkowicz, A. (2009). Assessment of accuracy of EGM08 model over the area of Poland. *Techn. Sc.*, 12, 118–134.
11. Shanurov, G. A., Ostroumov, V. Z., & Yepishin, V. I. (2004) Determination of the Water Level Stations' Heights Using Satellite Techniques. *Geoprofi [Geoprofi]*, 4, 11–17 [in Russian].
12. Shanurov, G. A., Ostroumov, V. Z., & Ostroumov, L. V. (2008). On the Influence of the Satellite Observation Geometry On the Errors In Determining Coordinates of the Geodetic Control Network Stations. *Geoprofi [Geoprofi]*, 2, 57–60 [in Russian].
13. Ostroumov, L. V., & Ostroumov, V. Z. (2013). Determination of Normal Heights of the Tide Gauge Stations' Benchmarks by the GNSS Data and the Quasigeoid Model in the Azov and Black Sea Region. *Geoprofi [Geoprofi]*, 3, 20–23 [in Russian].
14. Antonovich, K. M. (2006). *Ispol'zovanie sputnikovyh radionavigacionnyh sistem v geodezii: T. 2 [Using satellite radio-navigation satellite systems in geodesy: Vol. 2]*. Moscow: Carto-geocentr Publ., 334 p. [in Russian].
15. Hofmann-Wellenhof, B., Lichtenegger, H., & Wasle, E. (2008). *GNSS – Global Navigation Satellite Systems GPS, GLONASS, Galileo and more*. Wien, New-York: Springer, 516 p.
16. Leick, A. (2004). *GPS Satellite Surveying*. New York: A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 464 p.
17. Kanushin, V. F., Karpik, A. P., Ganagina, I. G., Goldobin, D. N., Kosareva, A. M., & Kosarev, N. S. (2015). *Issledovanie sovremennoy global'nyh modeley gravitacionnogo polya Zemli [The study of modern global models of the gravitational field of the Earth]*. Novosibirsk: SSUGT Publ., 270 p. [in Russian].
18. Karpik, A. P., Kanushin, V. F., Ganagina, I. G., Goldobin, D. N., Kosarev, N. S., & Kosareva, A. M. (2016). Evaluation of recent Earth's global gravity field models with terrestrial gravity data. *Contributions to Geophysics and Geodesy*, 46(1), 1–11.

19. Kanushin, V. F., Ganagina, I. G., Goldobin, D. N., Mazurova, E. M., Kosareva, A. M., & Kosarev, N. S. (2014). Comparison of the GOCE project satellite models with different sets of independent terrestrial gravimetry data. *Vestnik SGGA [Vestnik SSGA]*, 3(27), 21–34 [in Russian].
20. Kanushin, V. F., Karpik, A. P., Goldobin, D. N., Ganagina, I. G., Gienko, E. G., & Kosarev, N. S. (2015). The definition of gravity potential and heights differences in geodesy by gravimetric and satellite measurements. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 3(31), 53–69 [in Russian].
21. Kopeikin, S. M., Kanushin, V. F., Karpik, A. P., Tolstikov, A. S., Gienko, E. G., Goldobin, D. N., Kosarev, N. S., Ganagina, I. G., Mazurova, E. M., Karaush, A. A., & Hanikova, E. A. (2016). Chronometric measurement of orthometric height differences by means of atomic clocks. *Gravitation and Cosmology*, 22(3), 234–244.
22. Pavlis, N. K., Holmes, S. A., Kenyon, S. C., & Factor, J. (2012). The development and evaluation of the Earth Gravitational Model 2008 (EGM2008). *Journal of geophysical research, Solid Earth*, 117(B4), 1–38.
23. Kanushin, V. F., Ganagina, I. G., Goldobin, D. N., Vazurova E. M., Kosarev, N. S., & Kosareva, A. M. (2017). Quasigeoid modern global models: accuracy characteristics and resolution. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 22(1), 30–49 [in Russian].
24. Koneshov, V. N., Nepoklonov, V. B., Sermyagin, R. A., & Lidovskaya, E. A. (2013). Modern global Earth's gravity field models and their errors. *Gyroscopy and Navigation*, 4(3), 147–155.
25. International GNSS Service (IGS). (n. d.). Retrieved from <http://igs.org/>.
26. ICGEM – International Center for Global Gravity Field Models. (n. d.). Retrieved from <http://icgem.gfzpotsdam.de/ICGEM/ICGEM.html>.

Received 09.09.2019

© S. O. Shevchuk, N. S. Kosarev, V. N. Ponomarev,  
N. N. Bobrovitskaya, A. A. Sudakov, 2020