

LAND EXPERT EVIDENCE PROBLEM REVIEW IN RUSSIA

Alexander P. Karpik

Siberian State University of Geosystems and Technologies, 10, Plakhotnogo St., Novosibirsk, 630108, Russia, D. Sc., Professor, Rector, phone: (383)343-39-37, e-mail: rector@ssga.ru

Darya V. Parkhomenko

Siberian State University of Geosystems and Technologies, 10, Plakhotnogo St., Novosibirsk, 630108, Russia, Ph. D., Associate Professor, Department of Cadastre and Land Management, phone: (383)361-01-09, e-mail: dara8@inbox.ru

The issue of land expert evidence is considered only within the legal science framework in Russia traditionally. At the same time, as follows from the very concept of forensic land expert evidence, this is a study and the issuance of a conclusion on a question concerning not the legal science, but the science of the Earth. The purpose of the article is to analyze issues related to the production of judicial land surveying. The scientific significance of the article is to summarize the current state of land expert evidence methodology issue; the practical significance lies in shaping the research direction on this subject within the framework of earth sciences, its practical application. The research methodology in this work includes the analysis and synthesis of information on key methodology issues: the name and the integrated classification of that type expert evidence, objects and subjects of research, methods of conducting land surveying. The results that show the presence of inaccuracies in determining the expert evidence type, the lack of a unified classification of types of land expert evidence and a unified classification of objects of land expert evidence in earth sciences, law science and practice, there are no unified land expert evidence methods. The article summarizes the prospect of developing an author's approach to solving the indicated problems. The value of this study is due to the outlined problems systematization, in the context of which further studies are planned. The practical significance of the work results is summarized in the need to form the correct name for such examinations and to offer the author's classification of land expert evidence, identify and record the types of objects and subjects of research that are firmly associated with such a classification, analysis of areas developed by the author's methods of conducting land surveys.

Key words: land expert evidence, Earth science, land parcel, land management.

REFERENCES

1. Civil Procedure Code of the Russian Federation. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].
2. Arbitration Procedure Code of the Russian Federation. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].
3. Administrative Court Procedure Code of the Russian Federation. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].
4. Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].
5. Federal Law of May 31, 2001 No. 73–FZ. On state judicial expert activity. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].
6. Parkhomenko, D. V., & Parkhomenko, I. V. (2015). Cadastre and registration of titles in earth law and technical earth science system. In *Sbornik materialov Interekspo GEO-Sibir'-2015: Mezhdunarodnoy nauchnoy konferentsii: T. 4. Ekonomicheskoe razvitie Sibiri i Dal'nego Vostoka. Ekonomika prirodnopol'zovaniia, zemleustroistvo, lesoustroistvo, upravlenii e nedvizhimost'iu [Proceedings of Interexpo GEO-Siberia-2015: International Scientific Conference: Vol. 2. Economic*

Development of Siberia and the Far East. Environmental Economics, Land Management, Forestry Management and Property Management] (pp. 102–106). Novosibirsk: SSUGT Publ. [in Russian].

7. Umerenko, Yu. A. (2016). Land expert evidence in the arbitration process: practical aspects. *Arbitrazhnyy i grazhdanskiy protsess [Theory and Practice of Forensic Examination]*, 6, 38–43 [in Russian].

8. Popov, A. N. (2009). Expert solution methods of issues related to the boundaries definition and their compliance with the actual borders of land plots. *Teoriya i praktika sudebnoy ekspertizy [Theory and practice of forensics]*, 4(16), 142–150 [in Russian].

9. Shalamygina, A. S. (2014). Determination of the boundaries of land plots in the course of production of land surveys. *Teoriya i praktika sudebnoy ekspertizy [Theory and practice of forensic examination]*, 4(36), 134–138 [in Russian].

10. Savenko, G. V. (2018). Dosudebnye ehkspertnye zaklyucheniya v zemel'nyh sporah i sudebnaya zemleustroitel'naya ehkspertiza: sovremennyye problemy [Pre-trial expert opinions in land disputes and judicial land management expertise: current problems]. Moscow: Infotropic Media Publ., 239 p. [in Russian].

11. Federal Law of June 18, 2001 No. 78–FZ. On boundary surveying. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].

12. Yambaev, Kh. K. (2018). Some Aspects of Digital Technology for Geodetic Monitoring and Diagnostics of Bearing Structures of Unique Engineering Constructions. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 23(3), 138–153 [in Russian].

13. Economic Development Ministry Order of the Russian Federation of March 1, 2016 No. 90. On approval of requirements for accuracy and methods for determining the coordinates of characteristic points of borders of a land plot, requirements for accuracy and methods for determining coordinates of characteristic points of a building's contour, structure or facility under construction on a land plot, as well as requirements for determining the area of a building, structure and room. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].

14. Land Code of the Russian Federation. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].

15. Town Planning Code of the Russian Federation. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].

16. Ryaguzova, S. E., & Parkhomenko, D. V. (2013). Real estate objects. Concept, signs, types. In *Sbornik materialov Interekspo GEO-Sibir'-2013: Mezhdunarodnoy nauchnoy konferentsii: T. 3. Ekonomicheskoe razvitie Sibiri i Dal'nego Vostoka. Ekonomika prirodnopol'zovaniia, zemleustroistvo, lesoustroistvo, upravlenii e nedvizhimost'iu [Proceedings of Interexpo GEO-Siberia-2013: International Scientific Conference: Vol. 3. Economic Development of Siberia and the Far East. Environmental Economics, Land Management, Forestry Management and Property Management]* (pp. 13–22). Novosibirsk: SSUGT Publ. [in Russian].

17. Nicolaeva, O. N. (2015). On thematic map design algorithmization: a case study of natural resources map-models' development for general public *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 32(4), 61–69 [in Russian].

18. Karpik, A. P., Fedorenko, Yu. V., & Parkhomenko, D. V. (2017). On the geoinformation role in the civil-legal problems of estate state register data public reliability (on the example of Irkutsk region). *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 22(2), 114–123 [in Russian].

19. Popov, A. N. (2002). Land Plot as an Object of Research for the Judicial Construction and Technical Expertise. *Extended abstract of candidate's thesis*. Moscow [in Russian].

20. Parkhomenko, D. V., & Parkhomenko, I. V. (2016). Laser scanning in the state real estate cadastre: technological and legal aspects. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 33(1), 154–170 [in Russian].

21. Fedorenko, Yu. V. (2012). On the issue of conducting forensic studies of the borders of previously registered land plots. *Pravovoj zhurnal «Sibirskij juridicheskij vestnik» [Legal Journal Siberian Legal Bulletin]*, 2, 81–86 [in Russian].
22. Karpik, A. P., Lisitsky, D. V., Baykov, K. S., Osipov, A. G., & Savinykh, V. N. (2017). Geospacial Discourse of Forward-Looking and Breaking-Through Way of Thinking. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 22(4), 53–67 [in Russian].
23. Karpik, A. P., Osipov, A. G., & Murzintsev, P. P. (2010). *Upravlenie territoriei v geoinformatsionnom diskurse [Territory Management in geoinformation discourse]*. Novosibirsk: SSGA Publ., 280 c. [in Russian].
24. Federal Law of July 13, 2015 No. 218–FZ. O gosudarstvennoj registracii nedvizhimosti [On state registration of real estate]. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].
25. Hegel, G. V. F. (1997). *Nauka logiki [Science of Logic]*. Saint Petersburg: Science Publ., 800 p. Retrieved from <http://filosof.historic.ru/books/item/f00/s00/z0000423/index.shtml> [in Russian].
26. Parkhomenko, D. V., & Lenshina, I. V. (2017). Discussing realtor practice. *Vestnik SGUGiT [Vestnik SSUGT]*, 22(1), 190–202 [in Russian].
27. Ministry of Justice Order of the Russian Federation of December 27, 2012 No. 237. On approval of the List of births (types) of forensic examinations performed in federal budgetary forensic institutions of the Ministry of Justice of Russia, and the List of expert specialties for which the right to independently conduct forensic examinations in federal budgetary forensic institutions of the Ministry of Justice of Russia. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].
28. Lysykh, D. V. (2015). Professionalism and the state. In *Sbornik materialov Mezhdunarodnoj nauchno-prakticheskoy konferencii: Vedushchaya rol' universiteta v tekhnologicheskoy i kadrovoj modernizacii rossijskoj ehkonomiki» [Proceedings of International Scientific and Practical Conference: The leading Role of the University in the Technological and Personnel Modernization of the Russian Economy]* (pp. 15–21). Novosibirsk: SSUGT Publ. [in Russian].
29. Lebedeva, L. G. (n. d.). Work agreement. Methods of contractual protection of cadastral engineers. In *Sbornik materialov Sed'mogo Vserossijskogo S"ezda kadastryv inzhenerov [Proceedings of the Seventh All-Russian Congress of Cadastral Engineers]*. Khabarovsk, 2018. Retrieved from <http://sokin.ru/01.01.05.03/924.aspx> [in Russian].
30. Federal Law of July 24, 2007 No. 221–FZ. On cadastral activity. Retrieved from ConsultantPlus online database [in Russian].

Received 22.01.2019

© A. P. Karpik, D. V. Parkhomenko, 2019